



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,780	04/06/2006	Kenichi Okamoto	288617US2PCT	2523
22850	7590	01/07/2009		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER SALATA, ANTHONY J	
			ART UNIT 2837	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 01/07/2009	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/574,780	Applicant(s) OKAMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner Jonathan Salata	Art Unit 2837	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 April 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 06 April 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4-6-06, 7-22-08, 11-17-08</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 2837



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
ASSISTANT SECRETARY AND COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND
TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

Paper No:20090102
Application No:10/574780
Filing Date: April 6,2006

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. It should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words. See 37 CFR 1.72(a).

2. The drawings are objected to because the blank rectangular boxes and/or merely numbered boxes of figures 1,4,8-14,18,21-26,28-33 must be labeled. Conventional features disclosed in the description and claims, where their detailed illustration is not essential for a proper understanding of the invention, should be illustrated in the form of a graphical drawing symbol or a labeled representation (e.g., a labeled rectangular box). see 37 CFR 1.83(a). It is further pointed out that merely numbering the boxes is not considered an appropriate label. Structural elements which can be understood by conventional graphical drawing elements are not required to be labeled. Electronic elements enclosed in a "black box" require consulting the text of the specification and thus require labeling. If the box is too small to label, an appropriate label with an arrow pointing towards the box is acceptable. Correction is required.

Art Unit: 2837

3. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8 does not appear to be dependent upon the correct claim.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 1,2,7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kugiya et al (7228943). Kugiya et al teaches in figures 1-22, an elevator with an overspeed 12,30,35 means and emergency stop means 14,60 to operate a mechanical brake 50 in the event of an overspeed detection.9,30. A battery 19 operates the speed governor 1, CPU 16, ROM 17 and RAM 18 when the power supply from the outside stops.

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2837

7. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kugiya et al and Shiina et al (5217091).

Kugiya et al does not illustrate a rope break detector.

Shiina et al states that a rope break detection is indicated by the detection of an overspeed which is shown within Kiguya et al and thus to utilize the overspeed detection as an indication of a rope break would have been an obvious engineering design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art.

8. Claims 4-6,8,9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kiguya et al and Simpson (3706357).

Kiguya et al does not illustrate an auxiliary supply cut off upon landing detection.

Simpson teaches that it is advantageous to provide a backup supply cut off upon landing determination to provide the ability to reset upon fault removal. Thus, to utilize the auxiliary power cut off upon landing detection to resume normal operation would have been an obvious engineering design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art.

9. Claims 10,11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kiguya et al and Simpson as applied to claims 4-6,8,9 above, and further in view of Iwata (5085294).

Kiguya et al and Simpson do not illustrate a data save of position for normal resumption of service after power is restored.

Iwata teaches that for an elevator recovery system, it is advantageous to provide a saved position upon a power failure restart to prevent the travel to a standard floor (test run) and resume normal operation sooner. Thus, to utilize a stored position within a restart system for an elevator with a backup supply, would have been an obvious engineering design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art to prevent a test run and delay operation.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2837

Tachino is cited to illustrate a similar data save system. Maynard, Sager et al., Helstrom et al., Nomura are cited to illustrate similar emergency stop systems.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 months from the date of this letter.

Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Any inquiry of a **general nature** should be directed to the **Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2800.**

Information regarding the STATUS of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either private PAIR or public PARI. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR ONLY. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Any questions on access to PAIR, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 2800 via the PTO 2800 Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 O.G. 30 (November 15, 1989). The Fax Center number is (571) 273-8300.

For assistance in **Patent procedure, fees or general Patent questions** calls should be directed to the **Inventors Assistance Center (IAC) whose telephone number is 800-PTO-9199 or 800-786-9199.** Assistance is also available on the Internet at www.uspto.gov.

For requesting copies of Cited Art, Office Actions or the like, response to Status Letters, lost papers or files or General Problem solving, calls should be directed to the TC 2800 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is 571-272-2800 or by fax at 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry concerning **this communication or earlier communications from the examiner** should be directed to **Jonathan Salata whose telephone number is (571) 272-2073.** The examiner does not have as detailed access as the previously listed numbers with regard to status or general problem solving. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 2:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Walter Benson, can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext 37.

ajs

January 6, 2009

/Jonathan Salata/
JONATHAN SALATA
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2837

Application/Control Number: 10/574,780

Page 6

Art Unit: 2837